

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT'S PO. Box 1450 Aiexandra, Virgania 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/996,829 11/29/2001 Ryoji Yoneyama S004-4487 6397 7590 09/12/2003 **ADAMS & WILKS** EXAMINER 31st Floor MOHANDESI, IRAJ A 50 Broadway New York, NY 10004 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2834

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/996,829	YONEYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Iraj A Mohandesi	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2001.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/996,829

Art Unit: 2834

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

  Oku US patent 6,097,121 in view of Saban US patent 5,894,182 and skill in the art.

  Oku'121 discloses a spindle motor (Fig.1) comprising: a body of rotation (18 hub)

  rotating with an axis of rotation (20 shaft) thereof being centered, a magnet (12)

  mounted on the body of rotation, a coil (54) generating the varying magnetic field acting
  on the magnet, and a core (52) formed by laminating a plurality of doughnut-like

  magnetic steel sheets (Fig.3,4) each with a plurality or protrusions provided on a

  periphery for winding wire of the coil (see Fig.4).

However **Oku'121** teaches all limitation of claim except, a core with a specified thickness is formed by laminating thinnest steel sheet with thickness of 0.15 mm to 0.35 mm and 0.2 having riveting portions fitted in another steel sheet.

**Saban'182** discloses a motor with laminated core having riveting portions (38,48 ,see Fig.1) which is fitted in another steel sheet for the purpose of holding the laminated sheets together.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine **Oku'121** motor with a laminated core having

Art Unit: 2834

riveting portions, as taught by **Saban'182** for the purpose of holding the laminated sheets together.

With regard to the limitations in **claims 1,2,3,5 and 6** it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a core with a specified thickness is formed by laminating thinnest steel sheet with thickness of 0.15 mm to 0.35 mm and 0.2 for the purpose of improving the consumption of the current, since it has been held that where the general condition of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

With regard to **claim 7**, the method of forming the riveting portion is inherent to disclosed structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Iraj A Mohandesi whose telephone number is (703)305-3242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1371. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-0377.

DANG LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

IM September 5, 2003